

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

AUTONOMOUS MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT
BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, GOVERNMENT
DEVELOPMENT BANK FOR PUERTO RICO, and
PUERTO RICO FISCAL AGENCY & FINANCIAL
ADVISORY AUTHORITY,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-02009

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the *Motion to Hold All Pending Motions in Abeyance* (Dkt. No. 119) (the “Motion”). Through the Motion, Plaintiff requests that the Court hold all currently pending motions (Dkt. Nos. 96, 101, 110) in abeyance unless and until either Plaintiff or Defendants move to restore them. Plaintiff informed the Court that discussions among the parties “may resolve one or more of Plaintiff’s claims currently before the Court or, at a minimum, will result in a further amendment to the Amended Complaint, which may narrow the issues in dispute.” Motion at 3. Defendants filed a Response (Dkt. No. 121) expressing their concern that “the requested relief, *i.e.*, holding all pending motions in abeyance, does not go far enough, because the Amended Complaint would potentially remain operative.” Response at 2. Defendants ask the Court to stay the entire proceeding until Plaintiff decides whether to file a second amended complaint or dismiss its claims. In light of the ongoing discussions

among the parties, and potential mootness of the pending motions, the Court finds good cause to stay the proceeding. Any party may move to lift the stay if they deem it appropriate. The Court hereby stays this proceeding.

This resolves Dkt. No. 119.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Judith Gail Dein
Judith Gail Dein
United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: April 18, 2018